arXiv:1406.0159v1 [math.RT] 1 Jun 2014

VANISHING OF THE HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY FOR SOME SELF-INJECTIVE SPECIAL BISERIAL ALGEBRA OF RANK FOUR

TAKAHIKO FURUYA AND TAKAO HAYAMI

Abstract. In this paper, we determine the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups of some self-injective special biserial algebra whose Grothendieck group is of rank 4. This result provides us with a negative answer to Happel's question in [H].

1. Introduction

Let Γ be the following quiver with four vertices e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, and eight arrows $a_{l,m}$ for $l = 0, 1$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$:



We consider the subscript i of e_i as modulo 4, and the subscripts l and m of $a_{l,m}$ as modulo 2 and 4, respectively. Therefore, for all $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the arrow $a_{l,m}$ starts at the vertex e_m and ends with the vertex e_{m+1}. We write paths from left to right.

Let K be an algebraically closed field, and denote by $K\Gamma$ the path algebra of Γ over K. We set $x_l := \sum_{m=0}^{3} a_{l,m} \in K\Gamma$ for $l = 0, 1$. (Hence we may consider the subscript l of x_l as modulo 2.) Then, for any $i, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an integer $k \geq 0$, the element $e_i x_l^k$ is exactly the path $a_{l,i}a_{l,i+1}\cdots a_{l,i+k-1}$ of length k, and hence $e_i x_l^k = e_i x_l^k e_{i+k} = x_l^k e_{i+k}$ holds.

Let $T \geq 0$ be an integer, and let $q_i \in K^\times$ $(= K\backslash\{0\})$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. We always consider the subscript i of q_i as modulo 4. Let $I = I_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ denote the ideal in $K\Gamma$ generated by the uniform elements $e_i x_0 x_1$, $e_i x_1 x_0$, $e_j(q_j x_0^{4T+2} + x_1^{4T+2})$, and $e_k(q_k x_1^{4T+2} + x_0^{4T+2})$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, $j = 0, 2$ and $k = 1, 3$, namely, $I = I_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) := \langle x_l x_{l+1},\ e_i(q_i x_i^{4T+2} + x_{i+1}^{4T+2}) \ \big|\ l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq i \leq 3\rangle$. We define the algebra $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ to be the quotient algebra $K\Gamma/I_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$. Then $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a self-injective special biserial algebra, and hence is of tame representation type. In particular, if $T = 0$, then $A = A_0(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a Koszul self-injective algebra for all $q_i \in K^\times$ $(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ (see Proposition 2.3).

In [F2], we have found an explicit K-basis for the Hochschild cohomology group $\mathrm{HH}^i(A)$ $(i \geq 0)$ of $A = A_T(1_K, 1_K, 1_K, 1_K)$ for all $T \geq 0$, and gave a presentation

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E05, 16E40.

The second author is supported by a grant from Hokkai-Gakuen University.

by generators and relations of the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)/\mathcal{N}_A$ of $A = A_0(1_K, 1_K, 1_K, 1_K)$, where $\mathcal{N}_A$ denotes the ideal in $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$ generated by all homogeneous nilpotent elements. This result shows that, for $T = 0$ and $q_i = 1_K$ $(0 \leq i \leq 3)$, $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)/\mathcal{N}_A$ is finitely generated as an algebra. In this paper, we construct an explicit projective bimodule resolution of $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ by using a certain subset $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ of $K\Gamma$ found in [GSZ] (see Theorem 2.5), and then compute the dimension of $\mathrm{HH}^i(A)$ $(i \geq 0)$ completely for $T \geq 0$ and q_i $(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ such that the product $q_0q_1q_2q_3 \in K^\times$ is not a root of unity (see Theorem 3.5 (a)).

In [H], Happel has asked whether, if the Hochschild cohomology groups $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda)$ of a finite-dimensional K-algebra Λ vanish for all $n \gg 0$, then the global dimension of Λ is finite. Recently, in the papers [BGMS, BE, PS, XZ], a negative answer to this question have been obtained, where the authors studied the Hochschild cohomology groups for certain self-injective special biserial Koszul algebras. In this paper, we verify that some of our algebras A also give a negative answer to this question. In fact, the main theorem says that, if the product $q_0q_1q_2q_3 \in K^\times$ is not a root of unity, then $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$ vanish for all $n \geq 3$ if and only if $T = 0$ (see Theorem 3.5 (b)).

Throughout this paper, for any arrow α in Γ, we denote its origin by $\mathfrak{o}(\alpha)$ and its terminus by $\mathfrak{t}(\alpha)$. Moreover we write $\otimes_K$ as $\otimes$, and denote the enveloping algebra $A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A$ of A by A^{e} and the Jacobson radical of A by $\mathfrak{r}_A$.

2. A projective bimodule resolution of A

Let $B := K\Delta/I$ be a finite-dimensional K-algebra with Δ a finite quiver, and I an admissible ideal in $K\Delta$. Denote by $\mathfrak{r}_B$ the Jacobson radical of B. We start by recalling the construction of a set $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ introduced in [GSZ] for the right B-module $B/\mathfrak{r}_B$, from which we immediately obtain a minimal projective resolution of $B/\mathfrak{r}_B$. Let $\mathcal{G}^0$ be the set of all vertices of Δ, $\mathcal{G}^1$ the set of all arrows of Δ and $\mathcal{G}^2$ a minimal set of uniform generators of I. Then, in [GSZ], Green, Solberg and Zacharia proved that, for $n \geq 3$, we can construct a set $\mathcal{G}^n$ of uniform elements in $K\Delta$ such that there is a minimal projective resolution $(P^\bullet, d)$ of the right B-module $B/\mathfrak{r}_B$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For all $n \geq 0$, we have $P^n = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{G}^n} \mathfrak{t}(x)B$.
(ii) For each $x \in \mathcal{G}^n$, there are unique elements $r_y, s_z \in K\Delta$, where $y \in \mathcal{G}^{n-1}$ and $z \in \mathcal{G}^{n-2}$, such that $x = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{G}^{n-1}} yr_y = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{G}^{n-2}} zs_z$.
(iii) For all $n \geq 1$, $d^n : P^n \to P^{n-1}$ is determined by $d^n(\mathfrak{t}(x)) := \sum_{y \in \mathcal{G}^{n-1}} r_y\mathfrak{t}(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}^n$, where r_y denotes the element of (ii).

In this section, we provide a set $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ for the right A-module $A/\mathfrak{r}_A$, and then use it to give a projective bimodule resolution $(R^\bullet, \partial)$ of $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$. For $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \geq 1$, we denote the product $\prod_{t=0}^{u-1} q_{t+v}$ in K by $S_{u,v}$. (Thus the right lower subscript v of $S_{u,v}$ can be considered as modulo 4.) Furthermore, for our convenience, we set $S_{0,t} := 1_K$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $S_{r,u}S_{t,r+u} = S_{r+t,u}$, $S_{t,u}S_{t,u+1}S_{t,u+2}S_{t,u+3} = S_{2t,v}S_{2t,v+2} = S_{4t,w} = (q_0q_1q_2q_3)^t$, and $S_{2t,2t+u} = S_{2t,u+2}$ hold for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \geq 0$, and $t \geq 0$.

2.1. **Sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ for $A/\mathfrak{r}_A$.** First, to construct a set $\mathcal{G}^n$ for each $n \geq 0$, we define the elements $g^n_{i,j}$ $(0 \leq i \leq 3;\ 0 \leq j \leq n)$ in $K\Gamma$ as follows. Here, recall that the subscript l of x_l is considered as modulo 2.

Definition 2.1. *For $0 \le i \le 3$, and $0 \le j \le n$, we recursively define the uniform element $g^n_{i,j}$ in $K\Gamma$ by the following formulas*:

(a) *If $n = 0$, then $g^0_{i,0} := e_i$.*

(b) *If $n = 2m+1$ for $m \ge 0$, then*

$$g^{2m+1}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} g^{2m}_{i,0}x_i & \text{if } j = 0 \\ S_{2m-j+1,i+j-1}g^{2m}_{i,j-1}x^{4T+1}_{i+1} + g^{2m}_{i,j}x_i & \text{if } 1 \le j \le m \\ S_{2m-j+1,i+j-1}g^{2m}_{i,j-1}x_{i+1} + g^{2m}_{i,j}x^{4T+1}_i & \text{if } m+1 \le j \le 2m \\ g^{2m}_{i,2m}x_{i+1} & \text{if } j = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

(c) *If $n = 2m$ for $m \ge 1$, then*

$$g^{2m}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} g^{2m-1}_{i,0}x_{i+1} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ S_{2m-j,i+j-1}g^{2m-1}_{i,j-1}x^{4T+1}_i + g^{2m-1}_{i,j}x_{i+1} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le m-1 \\ S_{m,i+m-1}g^{2m-1}_{i,m-1}x^{4T+1}_i + g^{2m-1}_{i,m}x^{4T+1}_{i+1} & \text{if } j = m \\ S_{2m-j,i+j-1}g^{2m-1}_{i,j-1}x_i + g^{2m-1}_{i,j}x^{4T+1}_{i+1} & \text{if } m+1 \le j \le 2m-1 \\ g^{2m-1}_{i,2m-1}x_i & \text{if } j = 2m. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{o}(g^n_{i,j}) = e_i$ for all $n \ge 0$, $0 \le i \le 3$ and $0 \le j \le n$, and also, if $i + n \equiv t \pmod 4$, then $\mathfrak{t}(g^n_{i,j}) = e_t$. (So, it can be considered the left lower subscript i of $g^n_{i,j}$ as modulo 4.)

Now set

$$\mathcal{G}^n := \left\{ g^n_{i,j} \;\middle|\; 0 \le i \le 3;\ 0 \le j \le n \right\} \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0.$$

Then, noting $e_{i+2m-1}(q_{i+2m-1}x^{4T+2}_{i+1} + x^{4T+2}_i) = e_{i+2m-2}(q_{i+2m-2}x^{4T+2}_i + x^{4T+2}_{i+1}) = 0$ in A for $0 \le i \le 3$ and $m \ge 1$, we see that the sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ satisfy the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in the beginning of this section.

Remark 2.2. It follows that $\mathcal{G}^0 = \{e_i \mid 0 \le i \le 3\}$, $\mathcal{G}^1 = \{a_{l,m} \mid l = 0, 1;\ 0 \le m \le 3\}$, and $\mathcal{G}^2 = \left\{e_i x_l x_{l+1},\ e_i(x^{4T+2}_{i+1} + q_i x^{4T+2}_i) \mid l = 0, 1;\ 0 \le i \le 3\right\}$, so that $\mathcal{G}^2$ is precisely a minimal set of uniform generators of $I = I_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$.

Now we notice, in the case where $T = 0$, that the resolution $(P^\bullet, d)$ determined by (i), (ii) and (iii) in the beginning of this section is a linear resolution of $A/\mathfrak{r}_A$, and hence we have the following:

Proposition 2.3. *For any $q_i \in K^\times$ $(0 \le i \le 3)$, the algebra $A = A_0(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a self-injective Koszul algebra.*

2.2. **A projective bimodule resolution of A.** We now construct a minimal projective bimodule resolution $(R^\bullet, \partial)$ for A by using the sets $\mathcal{G}^n$. For simplicity, we denote by $\mathfrak{p}^n_{i,j}$ the element $\mathfrak{o}(g^n_{i,j}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(g^n_{i,j})$ in $A\mathfrak{o}(g^n_{i,j}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(g^n_{i,j})A$ for all $n \ge 0$, $0 \le i \le 3$, and $0 \le j \le n$. (Hence we may consider the subscript i of $\mathfrak{p}^n_{i,j}$ as modulo 4.)

First, for $n \ge 0$, define the projective A-A-bimodule, equivalently right A^{e}-module, R^n by

$$R^n := \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{G}^n} A\mathfrak{o}(g) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(g)A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{3} \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} A\mathfrak{p}^n_{i,j}A \right).$$

Second, let $\partial^0 : R^0 \to A$ be the multiplication map, and for $n \ge 1$ let $\partial^n : R^n \to R^{n-1}$ be the A-A-bimodule homomorphism determined by the following:

If $n = 2m+1$ for $m \geq 0$, then, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2m+1$,

$$\partial^{2m+1}(\mathfrak{p}^{2m+1}_{i,j}) := \tag{1}$$
$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,0}x_i - x_i\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i+1,0} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ S_{2m-j+1,i+j-1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,j-1}x^{4T+1}_{i+1} + \mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,j}x_i & \\ \qquad -S_{j,i}x_i\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i+1,j} - x^{4T+1}_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i+1,j-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < m+1 \\ S_{2m-j+1,i+j-1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,j-1}x_{i+1} + \mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,j}x^{4T+1}_{i} & \\ \qquad -S_{j,i}x^{4T+1}_{i}\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i+1,j} - x_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i+1,j-1} & \text{if } m+1 \leq j < 2m+1 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,2m}x_{i+1} - x_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i+1,2m} & \text{if } j = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

If $n = 2m$ for $m \geq 1$, then, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2m$,

$$\partial^{2m}(\mathfrak{p}^{2m}_{i,j}) := \tag{2}$$
$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,0}x_{i+1} + x_i\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,0} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ S_{2m-j,i+j-1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,j-1}x^{4T+1}_{i} + \mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,j}x_{i+1} & \\ \qquad +S_{j,i}x_i\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,j} + x^{4T+1}_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,j-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < m \\ \sum_{k=0}^{T}\big[x^{4k}_i\big(S_{m,i+m-1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,m-1}x_i + S_{m,i}x_i\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,m}\big)x^{4T-4k}_i & \\ \quad +x^{4k}_{i+1}\big(\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,m}x_{i+1} + x_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,m-1}\big)x^{4T-4k}_{i+1}\big] & \\ \quad +\sum_{k=0}^{T-1}\big[x^{4k+2}_i\big(S_{m,i}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+2,m-1}x_i + S_{m,i+m-1}x_i\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+3,m}\big)x^{4T-4k-2}_i & \\ \quad +S^{-1}_{m,i+3}S_{m,i+m+2}x^{4k+2}_{i+1}\big(\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+2,m}x_{i+1} + x_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+3,m-1}\big)x^{4T-4k-2}_{i+1}\big] & \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{if } j = m \\ S_{2m-j,i+j-1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,j-1}x_i + \mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,j}x^{4T+1}_{i+1} & \\ \qquad +S_{j,i}x^{4T+1}_{i}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,j} + x_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,j-1} & \text{if } m+1 \leq j < 2m \\ \mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i,2m-1}x_i + x_{i+1}\mathfrak{p}^{2m-1}_{i+1,2m-1} & \text{if } j = 2m. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.4. (a) By direct computations, it is not hard to check that $\partial^n\partial^{n+1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, and thus $(R^\bullet, \partial)$ is a complex of A-A-bimodules.

(b) For $n \geq 0$, the right A-homomorphism $h_n : A/\mathfrak{r}_A \otimes_A R^n \to P^n$determined by $\mathfrak{o}(g^n_{i,j}) \otimes_A \mathfrak{p}^n_{i,j} \mapsto \mathfrak{t}(g^n_{i,j})$ $(0 \leq i \leq 3;\ 0 \leq j \leq n)$ is an isomorphism, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/\mathfrak{r}_A \otimes_A R^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{id_{A/\mathfrak{r}_A}\otimes_A\partial^{n+1}} & A/\mathfrak{r}_A \otimes_A R^n \\ {\scriptstyle h_{n+1}}\big\downarrow\simeq & & \simeq\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle h_n} \\ P^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} & P^n \end{array}$$

is commutative. Therefore it follows that the complex $(A/\mathfrak{r}_A \otimes_A R^\bullet, id_{A/\mathfrak{r}_A}\otimes_A\partial)$ is a minimal projective resolution of the right A-module $A/\mathfrak{r}_A \otimes_A A$ $(\simeq A/\mathfrak{r}_A)$.

Now, using Remark 2.4, we can provide a projective bimodule resolution of A:

Theorem 2.5. *The complex $(R^\bullet, \partial)$ is a minimal projective bimodule resolution of $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ for all $T \geq 0$ and $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in K^\times$.*

The proof is similar to that found in some recent works, for example [ES, F1, F2, SS, ST], and so we omit it.

3. The Hochschild cohomology groups of A

In this section, we compute the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups of $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ by using the projective bimodule resolution $(R^\bullet, \partial)$ of Section 2. Throughout this section, we keep the notation from the previous sections.

Recall that, for $n \geq 0$, the nth Hochschild cohomology group $\mathrm{HH}^n(A)$ of A is defined to be the K-space $\mathrm{HH}^n(A) := \mathrm{Ext}^n_{A^e}(A, A)$. We set $(-)^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(-, A)$, for simplicity. Then, for $n \geq 0$, there is the following exact sequence of K-spaces:

$$0 \to (\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial^{n-1})^* \longrightarrow (R^n)^* \xrightarrow{i_n^*} (\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial^n)^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{n+1}(A) \to 0, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial^{-1} := A$, and i_n denotes the inclusion map. Hence, to give the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups, it suffices to calculate the dimensions of $(R^n)^*$ and $(\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial^{n+1})^*$.

3.1. **The dimension of** $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(R^n, A)$**.** We first find the dimension of $(R^n)^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(R^n, A)$ for $n \geq 0$.

Definition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. For $l = 0, 1$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, and for $\begin{cases} 0 \leq k \leq T & \text{if } n \not\equiv 3 \pmod 4 \\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1 & \text{if } T \geq 1 \text{ and } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4, \end{cases}$ we define the A-A-bimodule homomorphisms $\beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j} : R^n \to A$ by: for $0 \leq r \leq 3$ and $0 \leq s \leq n$,*

$$\beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j}(\mathfrak{p}^n_{r,s}) := \begin{cases} e_i x_l^{4k+t} & \text{if } r = i,\ s = j, \text{ and } n \equiv t \pmod 4 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here we may consider the subscripts l and i of $\beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j}$ as modulo 2 and 4, respectively. Note that, for $u \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq 3$, $\beta^{4u,0}_{0,i,j} = \beta^{4u,0}_{1,i,j}$ $(0 \leq j \leq 4u)$ and $\beta^{4u+2,T}_{i+1,i,j} = -q_i \beta^{4u+2,T}_{i,i,j}$ $(0 \leq j \leq 4u+2)$ hold.

Now recall that, for $n \geq 0$, the map $G_n : \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{G}^n} \mathfrak{o}(g) A\mathfrak{t}(g) \to (R^n)^*$ determined by $(G_n(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^n} z_g))(\mathfrak{p}^n_{i,j}) = z_{g^n_{i,j}}$ for $z_g \in \mathfrak{o}(g) A\mathfrak{t}(g)$ $(g \in \mathcal{G}^n)$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, is an isomorphism of K-spaces. Also, for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, the space $\mathfrak{o}(g^n_{i,j}) A\mathfrak{t}(g^n_{i,j}) = e_i A e_{i+n}$ has a K-basis

$$\begin{cases} \{e_i,\ e_i x_l^{4k} \mid l = 0, 1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \{e_i x_l^{4k+1} \mid l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T\} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ \{e_i x_l^{4k+2},\ e_i x_0^{4T+2} \mid l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \\ \{e_i x_l^{4k+3} \mid l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \text{ and } T \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and $\mathfrak{o}(g^n_{i,j}) A\mathfrak{t}(g^n_{i,j}) = 0$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $T = 0$. It is straightforward to see that the image of the K-basis above under G_n is precisely the set:

$$\begin{cases} \{\beta^{n,0}_{0,i,j},\ \beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3;\ 0 \leq j \leq n;\ l = 0, 1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \{\beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3;\ 0 \leq j \leq n;\ l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T\} \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ \{\beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j},\ \beta^{n,T}_{0,i,j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3;\ 0 \leq j \leq n;\ l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \\ \{\beta^{n,k}_{l,i,j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3;\ 0 \leq j \leq n;\ l = 0, 1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \text{ and } T \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and moreover $(R^n)^* = 0$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and $T = 0$. Since the set (4) gives a K-basis of $(R^n)^*$, we have the following:

Lemma 3.2. *For $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq 3$,*

$$\dim_K (R^{4m+r})^* = \begin{cases} 4(2T+1)(4m+1) & \text{if } r = 0 \\ 16(T+1)(2m+1) & \text{if } r = 1 \\ 4(2T+1)(4m+3) & \text{if } r = 2 \\ 32T(m+1) & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

3.2. **The dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial^n, A)$.** Until the end of this paper, we suppose that the product $S_{4,0} = q_0q_1q_2q_3$ ($\in K^\times$) is not a root of unity. Therefore $S_{4m,t}^k = S_{4,0}^{mk} = (q_0q_1q_2q_3)^{km} \neq 1_K$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and integers $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$.

Now, using the equations (1) and (2), we can find a K-basis of the kernel of $i_n^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(i_n, A)$ ($n \geq 0$) in (3).

Lemma 3.3. *If $T = 0$, then*

(i) (a) *The set $\{\sum_{j=0}^3 \beta_{0,j,0}^{0,0}\}$ gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_0^*$.*
(b) *For $m \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_{4m}^* = \{0\}$.*

(ii) (a) *The set $\{\beta_{r,r,0}^{1,0} + \beta_{r+1,r,1}^{1,0} - \beta_{r,r+1,1}^{1,0} - \beta_{r+1,r+1,0}^{1,0} \mid r = 0,1,2\} \cup \{\beta_{0,0,0}^{1,0} + \beta_{1,0,1}^{1,0},\ \beta_{1,0,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{0,1,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{1,2,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{0,3,1}^{1,0}\}$ gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_1^*$.*
(b) *For $m \geq 1$, the set $\{S_{u,r}\beta_{r,r,u}^{4m+1,0} + \beta_{r+1,r,u+1}^{4m+1,0} - S_{4m-u,u+r+1}\beta_{r,r+1,u+1}^{4m+1,0} - \beta_{r+1,r+1,u}^{4m+1,0} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 4m\}$ gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_{4m+1}^*$.*

(iii) *For $m \geq 0$, the set $\{\beta_{0,r,u}^{4m+2,0} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 4m+2\}$ gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_{4m+2}^*$.*

(iv) *For $m \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_{4m+3}^* = \{0\}$.*

If $T \geq 1$, then

(i) (a) *The set $\{\sum_{j=0}^3 \beta_{0,j,0}^{0,0}\} \cup \{\sum_{j=0}^3 \beta_{l,j,0}^{0,k} \mid l = 0,1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\}$ gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_0^*$.*
(b) *For $m \geq 1$, the set $\{S_{u,r}\beta_{r,r,u}^{4m,k} + \beta_{r,r+1,u}^{4m,k} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 2m-1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{\beta_{r,r+1,u}^{4m,k} + S_{4m-u,r+u+1}\beta_{r,r+2,u}^{4m,k} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 2m+1 \leq u \leq 4m;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{S_{4m,0}\beta_{l,l,2m}^{4m,k} + S_{2m,l+2}\beta_{l,l+1,2m}^{4m,k} + S_{2m,l+2}S_{2m,l+3}\beta_{l,l+2,2m}^{4m,k} + S_{2m,l+3}\beta_{l,l+3,2m}^{4m,k} \mid l = 0,1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\}$ gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_{4m}^*$.*

(ii) (a) *The set $\{\beta_{r,r,0}^{1,k},\ \beta_{r,r+1,1}^{1,k} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{\beta_{0,0,0}^{1,0} + \beta_{1,0,1}^{1,0}\} \cup \{\beta_{r,r,0}^{1,0} + \beta_{r+1,r,1}^{1,0} - \beta_{r,r+1,1}^{1,0} - \beta_{r+1,r+1,0}^{1,0} \mid r = 0,1,2\}$*

$$\cup \begin{cases} \{\beta_{0,1,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{0,3,1}^{1,0},\ \beta_{1,0,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{1,2,1}^{1,0}\} & \text{if } \mathrm{char}\, K \mid 2T+1 \\ \{\beta_{0,1,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{0,3,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{1,0,1}^{1,0} + \beta_{1,2,1}^{1,0}\} & \text{if } \mathrm{char}\, K \nmid 2T+1 \end{cases}$$

gives a K-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\, i_1^*$.
(b) *For $m \geq 1$, the set $\{S_{u,r}\beta_{r,r,u}^{4m+1,0} + \beta_{r+1,r,u+1}^{4m+1,T} - S_{4m-u,u+r+1}\beta_{r,r+1,u+1}^{4m+1,T} - \beta_{r+1,r+1,u}^{4m+1,0} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 2m-1\} \cup \{S_{u,r}\beta_{r,r,u}^{4m+1,T} + \beta_{r+1,r,u+1}^{4m+1,0} - S_{4m-u,u+r+1}\beta_{r,r+1,u+1}^{4m+1,0} - \beta_{r+1,r+1,u}^{4m+1,T} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 2m+1 \leq u \leq 4m\} \cup \{\beta_{r,r,u}^{4m+1,k} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 2m;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{\beta_{r+1,r,u}^{4m+1,k} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 2m+1 \leq u \leq 4m+1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{S_{2m,r}\beta_{r,r,2m}^{4m+1,0} + \beta_{r+1,r,2m+1}^{4m+1,0} -$

$$S_{2m,r+3}\beta^{4m+1,0}_{r,r+1,2m+1} - \beta^{4m+1,0}_{r+1,r+1,2m} \mid r = 0,1\}$$

$$\cup \begin{cases} \{S_{2m,r+l}\beta^{4m+1,0}_{r+l,r,2m+l} + S_{2m,r+l+3}\beta^{4m+1,0}_{r+l,r+2,2m+l} \\ \qquad \mid r = 0,1;\ l = 0,1\} \quad \textit{if } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1 \\ \{S_{2m,r}\beta^{4m+1,0}_{r,r,2m} + \beta^{4m+1,0}_{r+1,r,2m+1} - S_{2m,r+3}\beta^{4m+1,0}_{r,r+1,2m+1} \\ \qquad -\beta^{4m+1,0}_{r+1,r+1,2m} \mid r = 2,3\} \quad \textit{if } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1 \end{cases}$$

gives a K-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m+1}$.

(iii) *For $m \geq 0$, the set* $\{S_{u,r}\beta^{4m+2,k}_{r,r,u} + \beta^{4m+2,k}_{r,r+1,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 2m;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} \cup \{\beta^{4m+2,k}_{r,r+1,u} + S_{4m-u+2,u+r+1}\beta^{4m+2,k}_{r,r+2,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 2m+2 \leq u \leq 4m+2;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} \cup \{S_{2m,l}\beta^{4m+2,k}_{l,l+3,2m+1} + S_{2m,l}S_{2m+1,l+2}\beta^{4m+2,k}_{l,l+2,2m+1} + S_{2m,l+3}\beta^{4m+2,k}_{l,l+1,2m+1} + S_{4m+1,l}\beta^{4m+2,k}_{l,l,2m+1} \mid l = 0,1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} \cup \{\beta^{4m+2,T}_{0,r,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 4m+2\}$ *gives a K-basis of* $\operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m+2}$.

(iv) *For $m \geq 0$, the set* $\{\beta^{4m+3,k}_{r,r,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 2m+1;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\} \cup \{\beta^{4m+3,k}_{r,r+1,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 2m+2 \leq u \leq 4m+3;\ 0 \leq k \leq T-1\}$ *gives a K-basis of* $\operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m+3}$.

Proof. We only verify (i)(b) for the case $T \geq 1$. Suppose that $T \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, and we put $\mathcal{B} := \{S_{u,r}\beta^{4m,k}_{r,r,u} + \beta^{4m,k}_{r,r+1,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 0 \leq u \leq 2m-1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{\beta^{4m,k}_{r,r+1,u} + S_{4m-u,r+u+1}\beta^{4m,k}_{r,r+2,u} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3;\ 2m+1 \leq u \leq 4m;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\} \cup \{S_{4m,0}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l,2m} + S_{2m,l+2}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l+1,2m} + S_{2m,l+2}S_{2m,l+3}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l+2,2m} + S_{2m,l+3}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l+3,2m} \mid l = 0,1;\ 1 \leq k \leq T\}$. Denote by V the subspace in $(R^{4m})^*$ generated by $\mathcal{B}$. It is straightforward to see that the elements in $\mathcal{B}$ are linearly independent, and so it suffices to verify $V = \operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m}$.

First, let $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$. Then it is not hard to check that ψ sends all elements in (1) to zero, namely, $\psi(\partial^{4m+1}(\mathfrak{p}^{4m+1}_{i,j})) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and $0 \leq j \leq 4m+1$. So we get $\psi(\operatorname{Ker} \partial^{4m}) = \psi(\operatorname{Im} \partial^{4m+1}) = 0$, which shows $\psi \in \operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m}$, since i_{4m} is the inclusion map. Hence it follows that $V \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m}$.

Conversely, let $\phi \in \operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m}$. By (4), we can write $\phi = (\sum_{i=0}^{3}\sum_{j=0}^{4m} v_{i,j}\beta^{4m,0}_{0,i,j}) + \{\sum_{i=0}^{3}\sum_{j=0}^{4m}\sum_{k=1}^{T}(w^k_{0,i,j}\beta^{4m,k}_{0,i,j} + w^k_{1,i,j}\beta^{4m,k}_{1,i,j})\}$ for $v_{i,j},\ w^k_{l,i,j} \in K$ ($0 \leq i \leq 3$, $0 \leq j \leq 4m$, $1 \leq k \leq T$, $l = 0,1$). We always consider the subscripts i of $v_{i,j}$ and $w^k_{l,i,j}$ as modulo 4, and l of $w^k_{l,i,j}$ as modulo 2. Since $\phi \in \operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m}$, we get $\phi(\operatorname{Im} \partial^{4m+1}) = \phi(\operatorname{Ker} \partial^{4m}) = 0$, so that $\phi(\partial^{4m+1}(\mathfrak{p}^{4m+1}_{r,u})) = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq 3$ and $0 \leq u \leq 4m+1$. Then, by direct computations of the images of (1) under ϕ, we get: for $0 \leq r \leq 3$, $(v_{r,0} - v_{r+1,0})e_r x_r + \sum_{k=1}^{T}(w^k_{r,r,0} - w^k_{r,r+1,0})e_r x_r^{4k+1} = 0$, $(S_{4m-u+1,r+u-1}v_{r,u-1} - v_{r+1,u-1})e_r x_{r+1}^{4T+1} + (v_{r,u} - S_{u,r}v_{r+1,u})e_r x_r + \sum_{k=1}^{T}(w^k_{r,r,u} - S_{u,r}w^k_{r,r+1,u})e_r x_r^{4k+1} = 0$ ($1 \leq u \leq 2m$), $(S_{4m-u+1,r+u-1}v_{r,u-1} - v_{r+1,u-1})e_r x_{r+1} + (v_{r,u} - S_{u,r}v_{r+1,u})e_r x_r^{4T+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{T}(S_{4m-u+1,r+u-1}w^k_{r+1,r,u-1} - w^k_{r+1,r+1,u-1})e_r x_{r+1}^{4k+1} = 0$ ($2m+1 \leq u \leq 4m$), $(v_{r,4m} - v_{r+1,4m})e_r x_{r+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{T}(w^k_{r+1,r,4m} - w^k_{r+1,r+1,4m})e_r x_{r+1}^{4k+1} = 0$, which give the system of equations: for $0 \leq r \leq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq T$, $v_{r,u} - S_{u,r}v_{r+1,u} = 0$ ($0 \leq u \leq 2m$), $S_{4m-u,r+u}v_{r,u} - v_{r+1,u} = 0$ ($0 \leq u \leq 2m-1$), $w^k_{r,r,u} - S_{u,r}w^k_{r,r+1,u} = 0$ ($0 \leq u \leq 2m$), $S_{4m-u,r+u}v_{r,u} - v_{r+1,u} = 0$ ($2m \leq u \leq 4m$), $v_{r,u} - S_{u,r}v_{r+1,u} = 0$ ($2m+1 \leq u \leq 4m$), $S_{4m-u,r+u}w^k_{r+1,r,u} - w^k_{r+1,r+1,u} = 0$ ($2m \leq u \leq 4m$). So it follows, for $0 \leq r \leq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq T$, that $v_{r,u} = 0$ ($0 \leq u \leq 4m$), $w^k_{r,r,u} = S_{u,r}w^k_{r,r+1,u}$ ($0 \leq u \leq 2m-1$), $S_{4m-u,r+u}w^k_{r+1,r,u} =$

$w^k_{r+1,r+1,u}$ $(2m+1 \le u \le 4m)$, $S_{4m,0}w^k_{l,l+3,2m} = S_{2m,l}w^k_{l,l+2,2m} = S_{2m,l+3}w^k_{l,l,2m} = S_{2m,l+3}S_{2m,l}w^k_{l,l+1,2m}$ $(l = 0, 1)$. Then, using these equations, ϕ can be written as $\phi = \{\sum_{r=0}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{T}\sum_{u=0}^{2m-1} w_{r,r+1,u}(S_{u,r}\beta^{4m,k}_{r,r,u} + \beta^{4m,k}_{r,r+1,u})\} + \{\sum_{r=0}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{T}\sum_{u=2m+1}^{4m} w_{r,r+1,u}(\beta^{4m,k}_{r,r+1,u} + S_{4m-u,r+u+1}\beta^{4m,k}_{r,r+2,u})\} + \{\sum_{k=1}^{T}\sum_{l=0}^{1} S^{-1}_{4m,0}w^k_{l,l,2m}(S_{4m,0}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l,2m} + S_{2m,l+2}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l+1,2m} + S_{2m,l+2}S_{2m,l+3}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l+2,2m} + S_{2m,l+3}\beta^{4m,k}_{l,l+3,2m})\}$, which shows $\phi \in V$. Accordingly it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} i^*_{4m} \subseteq V$.

Therefore we get the required K-basis. Similarly, by using (1) and (2), we can verify the remaining statements. □

By the sequence (3), we get $\dim_K(\operatorname{Ker}\partial^n)^* = \dim_K \operatorname{Ker} i^*_{n+1}$ for $n \ge -1$ (where $\operatorname{Ker}\partial^{-1} := A$), so that Lemma 3.3 gives us the dimension of $(\operatorname{Ker}\partial^n)^*$:

Proposition 3.4. *For integers $m \ge -1$ and $0 \le r \le 3$ with $4m + r \ge -1$,*

$$\dim_K(\operatorname{Ker}\partial^{4m+r})^* = \begin{cases} 2T+1 & \text{if } m = -1 \text{ and } r = 3 \\ 8T+6 & \text{if } m = r = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1 \\ 8T+5 & \text{if } m = r = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1 \\ 2(8m+3)+8T(2m+1) & \text{if } m \ge 1,\ r = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1 \\ 4(4m+1)+8T(2m+1) & \text{if } m \ge 1,\ r = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1 \\ 4(4m+3)+2T(8m+5) & \text{if } m \ge 0 \text{ and } r = 1 \\ 16T(m+1) & \text{if } m \ge 0 \text{ and } r = 2 \\ 2T(8m+9) & \text{if } m \ge 0 \text{ and } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

Now, by using the sequence (3) and Proposition 3.4, we immediately get the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups of $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T \ge 0$ be an integer, and let q_i $(0 \le i \le 3)$ be any element in $K^\times$. Suppose that the product $q_0q_1q_2q_3$ is not a root of unity. Then*

(a) *For $m \ge 0$ and $0 \le r \le 3$,*

$$\dim_K \mathrm{HH}^{4m+r}(A) = \begin{cases} 2T+1 & \text{if } m = r = 0 \\ 2T+3 & \text{if } m = 0,\ r = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1 \\ 2T+2 & \text{if } m = 0,\ r = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1 \\ 2T+2 & \text{if } m = 0,\ r = 2 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1 \\ 2T+1 & \text{if } m = 0,\ r = 2 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1 \\ 2T & \text{if } m \ge 0 \text{ and } r = 3, \\ & \quad \text{or if } m \ge 1 \text{ and } r = 0 \\ 2T+2 & \text{if } m \ge 1,\ r = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1, \\ & \quad \text{or if } m \ge 1,\ r = 2 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \mid 2T+1 \\ 2T & \text{if } m \ge 1,\ r = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1, \\ & \quad \text{or if } m \ge 1,\ r = 2 \text{ and } \operatorname{char} K \nmid 2T+1. \end{cases}$$

(b) $\mathrm{HH}^n(A) = 0$ *for all $n \ge 3$ if and only if $T = 0$.*

Remark 3.6. If $T = 0$, since the global dimension of $A_0(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is infinite for all $q_i \in K^\times$ $(0 \le i \le 3)$, Theorem 3.5 (b) gives us a negative answer to Happel's question stated in Section 1.

We end this paper by describing the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)/\mathcal{N}_A$ of $A = A_T(q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ for $T = 0$, where $\mathcal{N}_A$ is the ideal generated by all homogeneous nilpotent elements in $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$, and thus $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)/\mathcal{N}_A$ is a commutative graded algebra. As a consequence of Theorem 3.5, we get the following:

Corollary 3.7. *Let $T = 0$ and $q_i \in K^\times$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $q_0q_1q_2q_3$ is not a root of unity. Then $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)$ is a 4-dimensional local algebra, and $\mathrm{HH}^*(A)/\mathcal{N}_A$ is isomorphic to K.*

References

[BE] P. A. Bergh and K. Erdmann, Homology and cohomology of quantum complete intersections, Algebra Number Theory **2** (2008), 501–522.

[BGMS] R.-O. Buchweitz, E. L. Green, D. Madesen and Ø. Solberg, Finite Hochschild cohomology without finite global dimension, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), 805-816.

[ES] K. Erdmann and S. Schroll, On the Hochschild cohomology of tame Hecke algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) **94** (2010), 117–127.

[F1] T. Furuya, A projective bimodule resolution and the Hochschild cohomology for a cluster-tilted algebra of type $\mathbb{D}_4$, SUT J. Math. **34** (2012), 145–169.

[F2] ———, Hochschild cohomology for a class of some self-injective special biserial algebras of rank four, to appear in J. Pure and Applied Algebra.

[GSZ] E. L. Green, Ø. Solberg and D. Zacharia, Minimal projective resolutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 2915–2939.

[H] D. Happel, The Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1404** (1989), 108–126.

[PS] A. Parker and N. Snashall, A family of Koszul self-injective algebras with finite Hochschild cohomology, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **216** (2012), 1245-1252.

[SS] S. Schroll and N. Snashall, Hochschild cohomology and support varieties for tame Hecke algebras, Q. J. Math. **62** (2011), 1017–1029.

[ST] N. Snashall and R. Taillefer, The Hochschild cohomology ring of a class of special biserial algebras, J. Algebra Appl. **9** (2010), 73–122.

[XZ] Y. Xu and C. Zhang, More counterexamples to Happel's question and Snashall-Solberg's conjecture, arXiv:1109.3956.

Takahiko Furuya, School of Dentistry, Meikai University, 1-1 Keyakidai, Sakado, Saitama, Japan

E-mail address: furuya@dent.meikai.ac.jp

Takao Hayami, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkai-Gakuen University, 4-1-40 Asahi-machi, Toyohira-ku, Sapporo, Japan

E-mail address: hayami@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp